

Similar hibernation physiology in bats across broad geographic ranges

Liam P McGuire^{1,2*}, Nathan W Fuller^{2,3}, Yvonne A Dzal⁴, Catherine G Haase^{5,6}, Kirk A Silas⁷, Craig KR Willis⁴, Sarah H Olson⁷, and Cori L Lausen⁸

1. Department of Biology, University of Waterloo, 200 University Ave W, Waterloo, ON, Canada N2L 3G1

2. Department of Biological Sciences, Texas Tech University, 2901 Main St, Lubbock, TX, USA 79409

3. Current Address: Nongame and Rare Species Program, Texas Parks and Wildlife, Austin, TX, USA 78744

4. Department of Biology, University of Winnipeg, 515 Portage Ave, Winnipeg, MB, Canada R3B 2E9

5. Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Montana State University, PO Box 173520, Bozeman, MT, USA 59717

6. Current Address: Department of Biology, Austin Peay State University, PO Box 4718, Clarkesville, TN, USA 37040

7. Wildlife Conservation Society, Health Program, Bronx, NY, USA

8. Wildlife Conservation Society Canada, Western Canada Bat Program, PO Box 606, Kaslo, BC Canada V0G 1M0

*Corresponding Author: Liam P McGuire

Department of Biology

University of Waterloo

200 University Ave W, Waterloo, ON, Canada N2L 3G1

E: liam.mcguire@uwaterloo.ca P: 519-888-4567 ext 35995

Short Title: Intraspecific variation in hibernation physiology

Word Count: 4,848 words (Abstract through Discussion)

Acknowledgements: We appreciate all colleagues on our team that contributed to ongoing discussion of the ideas and related aspects of the research we present here, including Meredith McClure, David Hayman, Reed Hranac, Raina Plowright, Brett Dickson, Emily Johnson, and Emma Kunkel. Field research spanning 9 sites in 8 states/provinces and 2 countries is a daunting challenge, and would not have been possible without assistance provided by a long list of individuals that provided crucial support with logistic coordination, and fieldwork and permitting support. We are grateful to all those that supported our research, including Lindsay Anderson, Dan Bachen, Charlene Barker, David Bishop, Fauve Blanchard, Dave Bobbit, German Botto, Ellen Brandell, Adam Brewerton, Joanna Burgar, Connie Campbell, Muriel Chahine, Dave Critchley, Jurgen Deagle, Kevin Downing, Orville Dyer, Troy Ellsworth, Heather Fenton, Steve Fox, Heather Gates, Danika Gerylo, Purnima Govindarajulu, Imogen Grant-Smith, Konstantin von Gunten, Marie-Helene Hamel, Lauri Hanauska-Brown, Jason Headley, Dave Hobson, Danica Hogan, Greg Horne, Anna Magdalena Hubmann, Sharon Irwin, Tina Jackson, Devin Jones, Kellen Keisling, Allicia Kelly, Mike Kelly, Paul Knaga, Eli Lee, Erin Low, Kelsey Low, Brandon Mackinnon, Bryce Maxell, Ryan McKay, Katie McNab, Dan Neubaum, Cory Olson, Felix Ossig-Bonanno, Lori Parker, Cochise Paulette, Vladimir

44 Paulik, Evan Phillips, Chuck Priestley, Jason Rae, John Roth, Maria-Camila Roy-Avilan, Frank Schlichting,
45 Jenna Schulhof, Helen Schwantje, Todd Shury, Lisa Sims, Geoff Skinner, Erin Tattersal, Dylan Taylor, Nina
46 Veselka, Lisa Wilkinson, Jason Williams, Joanna Wilson, Masako Wright, Ivan Yates, and Vladimir
47 Zumorin.

48

49 **Abbreviations**

50 TMR (torpid metabolic rate), EWL (evaporative water loss), WVP (water vapor pressure), $\dot{V}CO_2$ (rate of
51 carbon dioxide production)

52

53 **Declarations**

54 *Funding-* This project was funded in part with Federal funds from the Department of Defense Strategic
55 Environmental Research and Development Program, under Contract Number W912HQ-16-C-0015. Any
56 opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the
57 authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the United States government. Additional funding
58 was provided by the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (CFDA program 15.657 grant F17AP00593),
59 Texas Tech University, and the Alberta Conservation Association.

60 *Conflicts of Interest/Competing Interests-* The authors declare no conflicts of interest or competing
61 interests.

62 *Ethics Approval and Permits-* All procedures conducted in the United States were approved by the Texas
63 Tech University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (protocol 16031-05). All procedures
64 conducted in Canada conformed to the guidelines of the Canadian Council on Animal Care and were
65 permitted by Alberta Environment and Parks (17-214 and 18-016), British Columbia Ministry of Forest
66 Lands Natural Resource Operations and Rural Development (MRCB15-163558), Northwest Territories
67 Department of Environment and Natural Resources (WL500648), Government of Northwest Territories
68 Wildlife Care Committee (NWTWCC 2018-015), and Parks Canada (WB2018-020 and WB-2018-28777).

69 Permits for fieldwork in the United States were obtained from the Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife
70 & Parks (2016-104, 2017-018, and 2018-008), Colorado Parks and Wildlife (16TR2172, 17TR2172,
71 18TR2172, and 19TR2172), Nevada Department of Wildlife (497636), Utah Division of Wildlife Resources
72 (2COLL10094), National Park Service (ORCA-2018-SCI-0001).

73 *Availability of Data and Material-* Data will be submitted to Dryad Data Repository prior to publication.

74 *Author Contributions-* LPM, SHO, CLL conceived the study. LPM, SHO, CLL, and CKRW secured funding.
75 NWF, CGH, KAS, YAD, collected data. LPM analyzed the data and drafted the manuscript. All authors
76 contributed editorial comments on the manuscript.

77 **Abstract**

78 Species with broad geographic ranges may experience varied environmental conditions throughout their
79 range leading to local adaptation. Variation among populations reflects potential adaptability or
80 plasticity, with implications for populations impacted by disease, climate change, and other
81 anthropogenic influences. However, behaviour may counteract divergent selection among populations.
82 We studied intraspecific variation in hibernation physiology of *Myotis lucifugus* (little brown myotis) and
83 *Corynorhinus townsendii* (Townsend's big-eared bat), two species of bats with large geographic ranges.
84 We studied *M. lucifugus* at three hibernacula which spanned a latitudinal gradient of 1,500 km, and *C.*
85 *townsendii* from 6 hibernacula spread across 1,200 km latitude and 1,200 km longitude. We found no
86 difference in torpid metabolic rate among populations of either species, nor was there a difference in
87 the effect of ambient temperature among sites. Evaporative water loss was similar among populations
88 of both species, with the exception of one *C. townsendii* pairwise site difference and one *M. lucifugus*
89 site that differed from the others. We suggest the general lack of geographic variation is a consequence
90 of behavioural microhabitat selection. As volant animals, bats can travel relatively long distances in
91 search of preferred microclimates for hibernation. Despite dramatic macroclimate differences among
92 populations, hibernating bats are able to find preferred microclimate conditions within their range,
93 resulting in similar selection pressures among populations spread across wide geographic ranges.

94 **Keywords:** Hibernation, torpid metabolic rate, evaporative water loss, local adaptation, *Myotis*
95 *lucifugus*, *Corynorhinus townsendii*

96 **Introduction**

97 Studies seeking to understand broad scale ecological and evolutionary processes often consider
98 variation in phenotypic traits among geographically isolated populations (Garland Jr and Adolph 1991;
99 Kawecki and Ebert 2004). Organisms with broad geographic ranges may have to contend with dramatic
100 differences in climate and environmental conditions throughout their range and, thus, exhibit different
101 strategies to cope with this climatic and environmental variability. Some species may adopt a generalist
102 strategy, but specialist-generalist tradeoffs suggest there may be selection for alternate strategies
103 (Kawecki and Ebert 2004). Species with large geographic ranges may instead specialize on a relatively
104 narrow range of environmental conditions (e.g., microclimate specialists), or may migrate long distances
105 seasonally to remain in favorable conditions year-round. Among more sedentary species, intraspecific
106 variation may result from phenotypic plasticity, where different phenotypes arise without underlying
107 genetic change, especially in highly variable environments. Alternatively, populations of widespread
108 species may adapt to local conditions that may be relatively consistent among years, but vary
109 dramatically across the species range.

110 Although clearly an important topic, many studies do not consider intraspecific variation (or
111 implicitly assume that local adaptation is not a major factor) due to the financial and logistic challenges
112 associated with collecting data from multiple widespread populations. However, intraspecific variation
113 has great evolutionary significance (Gould and Johnston 1972). Varying environments impose different
114 selection pressures among populations, selecting for traits linked to increased fitness in these
115 environments. Challenges posed by the environment can constrain the distribution of the species (e.g.,
116 Humphries et al. 2002), and populations that occur at range margins may be more likely to be locally
117 adapted, as local conditions may otherwise prevent the occurrence of the species. Ultimately, resulting
118 differences among populations reflect potential adaptability of the species with broad implications

119 ranging from coping with climate change and other anthropogenic influences to speciation (Doebeli and
120 Dieckmann 2003; Otto 2018).

121 From an energetic perspective, local adaptations and specialization will be most pronounced
122 under situations of extreme energetic challenges. For many temperate species, the limitation of food
123 availability and increased thermoregulatory demands of winter represent a combined energetic
124 challenge. Hibernating bats are an excellent study system to address questions of local adaptation in
125 broadly distributed populations. Many species of bats have very broad geographic distributions, often
126 with ranges that cover large parts of entire continents. Therefore, populations of these widely
127 distributed species will experience a wide range of environmental conditions due to latitudinal
128 gradients, the influence of mountain ranges, or the influence of large bodies of water, in some cases
129 resulting in populations persisting in different biomes. Bats are also interesting to consider as they are
130 highly mobile species. Some species of bats migrate latitudinally to seek out favorable conditions year-
131 round, but many more species instead migrate relatively short distances (< 500 km) to seek out
132 appropriate habitat for winter hibernation (Fleming and Eby 2003). For those species that do not
133 overwinter at great distances from their summer breeding grounds, winter poses an extreme energetic
134 challenge. Indeed, hibernation represents the highest period of mortality for many species (Davis 1966;
135 Frick et al. 2010; Lentini et al. 2015). Many species of bats are comprised of populations that hibernate
136 across broad geographic ranges, but little is known about how hibernation energetics vary among
137 populations, or the extent to which local adaptation results in regional phenotypic variation.

138 The objective of our study was to understand how hibernation physiology varies among
139 populations of species with broad distributions. We studied this question by comparing the physiology
140 of two hibernating bat species across large geographic ranges. We hypothesized that variation in
141 environmental conditions across populations could affect hibernation strategies. We made two
142 alternate predictions. If widely distributed species are locally adapted to regional environmental

143 conditions, we predicted we would observe physiological differences among bats from different sites.
144 Alternatively, if populations from throughout the species range seek out and select similar hibernacula
145 then we predicted we would not observe differences across our study sites.

146 **Materials and Methods**

147 Study Species and Study Sites– We included two species of hibernating bats in our study. *Corynorhinus*
148 *townsendii* (Townsend’s big-eared bat) is a small (approximately 5 – 13 g body mass) insectivorous bat
149 (family Vespertilionidae). The distribution of *C. townsendii* spans across western North America,
150 extending south into Mexico and north into southern British Columbia (Kunz and Martin 1982). Two
151 isolated subspecies occur in the eastern United States (*C. townsendii virginianus* and *C. t. ingens*) but we
152 did not consider these subspecies in our study. *C. townsendii* often hibernates in caves and mines, but is
153 generally thought to select colder, drier, and more variable sites for hibernation than many other
154 species (Kunz and Martin 1982). Populations may migrate short distances from maternity colonies to
155 hibernacula, but *C. townsendii* sometimes uses caves or mines as maternity roosts and may even use
156 separate areas of one cave for maternity roosting and hibernation (Sherwin et al. 2000). Despite its
157 widespread distribution, *C. townsendii* hibernation has received comparatively (compare with *M.*
158 *lucifugus*, below) little study (e.g., Hughes 1968; Humphrey and Kunz 1976; Sherwin et al. 2000; Ingersoll
159 et al. 2010).

160 *Myotis lucifugus* (little brown myotis) is also a small (approximately 6 – 12 g body mass)
161 insectivorous bat (family Vespertilionidae) and has one of the largest geographic distributions among
162 North American bats. The species regularly occurs from Georgia in the southeast to Alaska in the
163 northwest (Fenton and Barclay 1980). There has been extensive study of hibernation in *M. lucifugus*,
164 though primarily focused in the northeast (e.g., Fenton 1969; Thomas et al. 1990; Thomas and Cloutier
165 1992a; Kunz et al. 1998; McGuire et al. 2009) and Manitoba (e.g., Jonasson and Willis 2012; Czenze et al.

166 2013; Norquay and Willis 2014; McGuire et al. 2017). *Myotis lucifugus* may travel several hundred
167 kilometers from summer maternity roosts to winter hibernacula (Fenton 1969; Norquay et al. 2013).
168 Hibernacula are typically caves or mines with stable, cool temperatures, and consistently high humidity
169 (Fenton and Barclay 1980).

170 We collected physiological measurements from free-living *C. townsendii* at hibernacula over a
171 region that spanned 1,200 km north to south, and 1,200 km from east to west, including one of the
172 northernmost hibernacula known for the species (**Figure 1**). We conducted fieldwork at sites in
173 Colorado, Utah, Nevada, Oregon, and British Columbia. Similarly, we collected measurements from *M.*
174 *lucifugus* at hibernacula in Montana, Alberta, and Northwest Territories, including the most northerly
175 known hibernaculum for the species (Figure 1; Wilson et al. 2014). The *M. lucifugus* study sites
176 represented a latitudinal gradient of approximately 1,500 km. None of our sites were affected by white-
177 nose syndrome (Willis 2015) at the time of our fieldwork.

178 We characterized the climate at each of our study sites based on 1981 – 2010 climate normals
179 (Arguez et al. 2012; Environment and Climate Change Canada 2020). Although it was not our explicit aim
180 to test for variation along specific environmental gradients, the *M. lucifugus* sites spanned a wide
181 temperature gradient and *C. townsendii* sites included both arid sites and much more mesic sites (**Table**
182 **1**). Microclimates can be highly variable within sites (Perry 2013; McClure et al. 2020), and bats move
183 within sites during hibernation (Ransome 1968; Boyles et al. In review), therefore we did not attempt to
184 relate measured physiological variables (see below) to the conditions experienced within a site.

185 Data Collection– We captured bats at hibernacula during pre-hibernation swarming or during midwinter
186 over three field seasons from 2017 to 2019. Swarming bats were captured in mist-nets over several
187 days. In mid-winter we made a single entry into the hibernaculum to minimize disturbance (coordinating
188 with planned surveys where possible) and captured bats by hand from the walls of the hibernaculum.

189 We recorded body mass (± 0.1 g; Ohaus Corporation USA), forearm length (± 0.1 mm; Avinet Inc.) and
190 sex. We excluded any bats that were suspected to be young of the year (Brunet-Rossinni and Wilkinson
191 2009) and make the assumption that all of the bats in our study were adults.

192 We selected a subset of up to 14 bats each night to be held for respirometry measurements,
193 preferentially maintaining an even sex ratio and including the heaviest bats available to avoid additional
194 stress to bats in relatively poor condition. We used open flow respirometry to measure torpid metabolic
195 rate (TMR) and evaporative water loss (EWL) across a range of temperatures. At all American field sites
196 respirometry measurements were performed on site in a mobile laboratory. Animal chambers (350 mL
197 glass jars) had wire lattice as a roosting surface, mineral oil to the bottom of the chamber to prevent bat
198 excretions from biasing water vapor pressure (WVP) measurements, and $0.2 \mu\text{m}$ in-line filters (Cole-
199 Parmer, #15945-42) to prevent possible *Pseudogymnoascus destructans* contamination (the causative
200 agent of white-nose syndrome). Chambers were housed inside a dark, temperature-controlled ($\pm 0.5^\circ\text{C}$)
201 cabinet (PELT-5, Sable Systems International). We used calibrated thermocouple probes (TC-2000; Sable
202 Systems International) hanging within each metabolic chamber to continuously monitor ambient
203 temperature throughout experimental trials. Incurrent air was scrubbed with Drierite[®] (W.A. Hammond
204 Drierite Co. LTD, Xenia, Ohio) to remove water vapor, soda lime (Sigma-Aldrich cat no: 72073) to remove
205 CO_2 , and finally magnesium perchlorate (Sigma-Aldrich cat no: 222283) to remove additional water
206 vapor released from the CO_2 scrub. We settled bats at 10°C for 12 h prior to the start of measurements,
207 maintaining humidity ($\sim 85\%$ RH; DG-4 dew point generator, Sable Systems) during the settling
208 phase. We maintained flow rate (flowbar FB-8; Sable Systems) at approximately 300 mL/min during
209 settling, and reduced flow rate to 100 - 120 mL/min when bats entered torpor. We sequentially
210 monitored each bat (RM-8 multiplexer, Sable Systems) at 10 min intervals. Following 12 h of settling, we
211 switched to a stream of dry air to avoid potential condensation at the low saturated WVP associated
212 with low temperatures. We cycled through bats and the baseline reference channel at 3 min intervals

213 (accounting for washout period) during the measurement phase, starting at 10 °C and sequentially
214 reducing temperature to 8, 5, and 2 °C. Each temperature treatment was maintained for approximately
215 3 h, corresponding to 7 – 8 measurements of TMR and EWL per bat at each temperature. Excurrent air
216 was subsampled at 75 mL/min for measurement of WVP and excurrent CO₂ (Field Metabolic System;
217 Sable Systems International). Respirometry gas analyzers were regularly calibrated with custom certified
218 span gas (AirGas Cuevas Distribution Inc, USA or Praxair Canada Inc.).

219 Respirometry procedures in Canada followed the same general protocol, with some minor
220 differences. Rather than conducting measurements on site in a mobile lab, bats in Canada were
221 transported < 50 km to a local field station (approximately 30 minutes, bats held in individual cloth bags
222 during transport). Animal chambers were of similar design but 250 mL instead of 350 mL, and chambers
223 were maintained in a dark custom temperature cabinet ($\pm 0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$; modified from 6 cubic foot mini-fridge,
224 Danby Products Ltd.). During the settling phase bats were provided ambient air that did not have a
225 precisely controlled WVP, but was > 85% RH to match measurements made at American sites. Instead of
226 an FB-8 flowbar, in Canada we used a subsampler (SS-4; Sable Systems International) and factory
227 calibrated flow controllers (MFC-2; Sable Systems International) to maintain flow at the same rates used
228 at American field sites. Instead of an FMS multi-analyzer system, in Canada we used separate analyzers
229 to measure WVP (RH-300; Sable Systems International) and CO₂ (FoxBox Respiratory System; Sable
230 Systems International). We do not anticipate that any of differences in respirometry measurement
231 would impact measurements used in our analysis.

232 The total respirometry protocol took approximately 24 h, during which time bats were
233 continuously monitored. If a bat showed signs of increasing metabolic rate (i.e., not in steady state
234 torpor) we increased flow rate for that bat until the bat returned to torpor. Bats that refused to settle
235 were removed from the experiment to prevent unnecessary stress and depletion of fat stores. Upon

236 completion of measurements we offered water and either mealworms or a high calorie nutritional
237 supplement (Nutrical, Tomlyn Veterinary Science) to each bat before release at the site of capture.

238 We processed respirometry recordings in Expedata (v1.9.13; Sable Systems). We corrected for
239 lag and drift and then calculated $\dot{V}CO_2$ using equation 10.5 from Lighton (2018) and evaporative water
240 loss using equation 10.9 from Lighton (2018). We converted $\dot{V}CO_2$ to metabolic rate (in mW) assuming
241 fat oxidation (respiratory quotient = 0.7; Price and Mager 2020). We quality checked all measurements
242 and excluded values from bats that were not torpid or if there was an erroneous measurement (values <
243 0.25 mW g⁻¹ or > 2 mW g⁻¹) and selected the minimum TMR measurement at each temperature for each
244 individual for further analyses. We report mass-specific metabolic rates (mW g⁻¹) for ease of comparison
245 with other literature and other accompanying studies, but the qualitative outcomes were not affected
246 whether using mass-specific or whole animal measurements.

247 Data Analysis– We used linear mixed models to analyze TMR or EWL following procedures described by
248 Zuur et al. (2009), with separate models for *C. townsendii* and *M. lucifugus*. We suspected we might
249 observe greater variance in TMR or EWL at either the highest or lowest temperatures due to individual
250 physiological thresholds. Therefore, we included a variance structure in our analysis which allowed for a
251 heterogeneous variance among temperatures (varIdent variance structure in nlme package of R
252 statistical software; Zuur et al. 2009; R Core Team 2020; Pinheiro et al. 2021). We included a random
253 effect of individual to account for repeated measures and tested for the main effects of temperature,
254 sex, season (pre-hibernation or mid-winter), site, and the interactions of temperature and site and
255 temperature and sex (in case bats from different sites or sexes responded differently to temperature).
256 We compared models with likelihood ratio tests, visually assessed residual plots, and used Tukey’s post-
257 hoc tests for pairwise comparisons of significant effects. For all main effects we assessed statistical
258 significance at $\alpha = 0.05$, but in post-hoc comparisons we considered pairwise differences if $p < 0.10$. All

259 statistical analysis was conducted in R (v 3.6.3; R Core Team 2020) including packages ggmap (Kahle and
260 Wickham 2013), dplyr (Wickham et al. 2021), lubridate (Grolemund and Wickham 2011), nlme (Pinheiro
261 et al. 2021), and multcomp (Hothorn et al. 2008).

262 Permits and Ethics– All field activities were conducted under permits from the respective state or
263 provincial agencies. Although none of our sites were affected by white-nose syndrome at the time we
264 conducted our fieldwork, we followed US Fish and Wildlife Service protocols for fieldwork and
265 decontamination (US Fish and Wildlife Service 2018). All field methods were approved by the
266 Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Texas Tech University (all sites in the United States), the
267 National Park Service (Oregon), Alberta Environment and Parks, British Columbia Ministry of Forest
268 Lands Natural Resource Operations and Rural Development, Northwest Territories Department of
269 Environment and Natural Resources, and Parks Canada.

270 **Results**

271 C. townsendii– Our analysis included data for 152 *C. townsendii* from 6 different sites (4 mines, 2 caves;
272 $n = 10 - 47$ bats per site). Our dataset included a similar sex ratio among sites (test for equality of
273 proportions; $\chi^2=10.0$, $df = 5$, $p = 0.07$) with a female bias across sites (number females \geq males at all
274 sites; binomial test $p = 0.0001$). Models of torpid metabolic rate were better supported when they
275 included heterogeneous variance among temperatures (likelihood ratio = 32.38, $df = 3$, $p < 0.0001$) and
276 the random effect of individual (likelihood ratio = 100.92, $df = 1$, $p < 0.0001$). Our dataset includes
277 samples from both pre-hibernation and mid-winter at four sites, and mid-winter only at two sites.
278 Torpid metabolic rate was related to temperature (likelihood ratio = 12.84, $df = 3$, $p = 0.005$) but the
279 effect of temperature did not vary among sites (site:temperature interaction, likelihood ratio = 22.30, df
280 = 15, $p = 0.10$) or between sexes (sex:temperature interaction, likelihood ratio = 1.70, $df = 3$, $p = 0.64$).
281 Torpid metabolic rates measured in winter were slightly greater (0.1 ± 0.07 mW g^{-1}) than when

282 measured during swarming (likelihood ratio = 4.85, df = 1, p = 0.03), but given that no similar effect was
283 observed for EWL and no seasonal effects were observed for *M. lucifugus* (below), this may be a
284 spurious result. Torpid metabolic rate did not vary among sites (likelihood ratio = 6.85, df = 5, p = 0.23)
285 or between sexes (likelihood ratio = 0.15, df = 1, p = 0.70). Torpid metabolic rate was greater at 2°C than
286 either 5 or 8°C and TMR at 10°C was intermediate, suggesting decreasing TMR to a minimum metabolic
287 rate ($0.33 \pm 0.03 \text{ mW g}^{-1}$) over the range of 5 – 8°C (**Figure 2a**) and minimum defended temperature
288 between 2 and 5°C.

289 Trends for EWL generally followed those observed for TMR. For EWL, there was better support
290 for models that included heterogeneous variance among temperatures (likelihood ratio = 107.10, df = 3,
291 $p < 0.0001$) and the random effect of individual (likelihood ratio = 57.51, df = 1, $p < 0.0001$). Evaporative
292 water loss varied across temperatures (likelihood ratio = 19.03, df = 3, $p = 0.0003$) but the effect of
293 temperature did not differ among sites (site:temperature interaction; likelihood ratio = 15.84, df = 15, p
294 $= 0.39$) or between sexes (sex:temperature interaction; likelihood ratio = 4.49, df = 3, $p = 0.21$).
295 Evaporative water loss did not differ between seasons (likelihood ratio = 2.60, df = 1, $p = 0.11$) or sexes
296 (likelihood ratio = 2.74, df = 1, $p = 0.10$). Minimum EWL ($0.010 \pm 0.0007 \text{ mg H}_2\text{O min}^{-1} \text{ g}^{-1}$) was measured
297 at 5 - 8°C (**Figure 2b**), the same temperature range for minimum TMR. There was one pairwise
298 difference in EWL among sites (likelihood ratio = 13.05, df = 5, $p = 0.02$), with lower EWL measured in
299 British Columbia compared to Colorado (Tukey's post-hoc $p = 0.01$). There were no other differences
300 among sites.

301 *M. lucifugus*– Our analysis included data for 99 *M. lucifugus* from 3 different caves (sample size ranged
302 from 20 – 40 bats per site). Our dataset included males and females at all sites, but sex ratio varied
303 among sites (test for equality of proportions; $\chi^2=12.6$, df = 2, $p = 0.001$), with heavy male bias in
304 Montana and Northwest Territories (binomial test; $p < 0.0001$) and an even sex ratio in Alberta
305 (binomial test: $p = 0.87$). In Montana we conducted fieldwork during pre-hibernation swarming and mid-

306 winter hibernation, but at the Alberta and Northwest Territories sites we only conducted pre-
307 hibernation fieldwork. In an analysis of just data from Montana, TMR did not differ between fall and
308 winter (likelihood ratio = 0.83, df = 1, p = 0.36). Therefore, we pooled swarming and hibernation data in
309 Montana and did not include season in comparison among the three sites. As for the results of *C.*
310 *townsendii*, there was better support for models that included a random effect of individual (likelihood
311 ratio = 27.33, df = 1, p < 0.0001) and allowed for differences in variance among temperatures (likelihood
312 ratio = 22.17, df = 3, p = 0.0001). Torpid metabolic rate varied among temperatures (likelihood ratio =
313 15.21, df = 3, p = 0.002), but the effect of temperature did not vary among sites (site:temperature
314 interaction; likelihood ratio = 7.06, df = 6, p = 0.32) or between sexes (sex:temperature interaction;
315 likelihood ratio = 6.04, df = 3, p = 0.11). There were no differences in torpid metabolic rate among sites
316 (likelihood ratio = 3.21, df = 2, p = 0.20) or between sexes (likelihood ratio = 0.04, df = 1, p = 0.84).
317 Torpid metabolic rate was greater at 10°C than at any of 8, 5, or 2°C (**Figure 3a**). Minimum metabolic
318 rate was 0.30 ± 0.02 mW g⁻¹ and the absence of an increase in metabolic rate at the lower tested
319 temperatures indicates the minimum defended temperature is < 2°C, the coldest temperature we tested
320 at.

321 Evaporative water loss models that included the random effect of individual and heterogeneous
322 variance structure were better supported (likelihood ratio = 19.74, df = 1, p < 0.0001; likelihood ratio =
323 67.74, df = 3, p < 0.0001). There was a marginally significant interaction between site and temperature
324 (likelihood ratio = 12.96, df = 6, p = 0.044) but upon inspection the interaction was driven only by slightly
325 greater EWL at the Alberta site at 8°C and did not indicate any broader patterns of variation among
326 temperatures or sites. After excluding the site by temperature interaction, EWL did not differ between
327 sexes (likelihood ratio = 1.95, df = 1, p = 0.16) but was related to temperature (likelihood ratio = 19.75,
328 df = 3, p = 0.0002) with greater evaporative water loss at 10°C than either 8 or 5°C (**Figure 3b**). As for *C.*
329 *townsendii* there was one site effect; bats from Montana had greater EWL than either of the other two

330 sites (likelihood ratio = 13.81, df = 2, p = 0.001; **Figure 3b**). Minimum EWL (calculated at 5 and 8 °C
331 across all sites) was 0.018 ± 0.001 mg H₂O min⁻¹ g⁻¹.

332

333 **Discussion**

334 Despite large geographic distances (> 1,000 km) and climatic variation (Table 1) among our study
335 sites, we found no evidence of intraspecific variation, or local adaptation, in TMR. Torpid metabolic rate
336 did not vary among sites, nor did the relationship between TMR and ambient temperature. There are
337 few studies that have previously considered similar comparisons, with mixed results. In two studies
338 which contrasted bat populations across evaporative water loss gradients, there was little to no
339 variation in metabolic rate among populations (Klüg-Baerwald and Brigham 2017; Gearhart et al. 2020),
340 consistent with the findings in our study. Notably, Dunbar and Brigham (2010) found variation in torpid
341 metabolic rate among populations of hibernating *Eptesicus fuscus* (big brown bats). However, the
342 differences in metabolic rates among populations were only observed at the coldest and warmest
343 temperatures tested, both of which were outside the range of temperatures we considered in our study.
344 In the range of 5 – 10°C, torpid metabolic rate did not vary among the populations considered by
345 Dunbar and Brigham (2010), similar to our findings. Our objective was to test hibernation physiology
346 over a range of biologically relevant temperatures that might be regularly experienced by hibernating
347 bats. We might have observed intraspecific variation if we included temperatures farther below the
348 minimum defended temperature. However, assuming preferred microclimates are available, hibernators
349 should select temperatures slightly above those that result in minimum metabolic rate (Boyles and
350 McKechnie 2010; Boyles et al. 2020), but note that additional factors affect hibernation strategies,
351 including sex (Jonasson and Willis 2011; Czenze et al. 2017) and body condition (Boyles et al. 2007).

352 Therefore, we conclude that within the range of temperatures which are likely to be preferred during
353 hibernation, there is no evidence for intraspecific variation in TMR.

354 The conclusion for EWL was generally consistent with the finding for TMR, but notably there
355 were site differences in water loss. Among *C. townsendii* the relationship between water loss and
356 temperature was consistent across populations, except for one pairwise combination of sites (British
357 Columbia and Colorado) that differed in evaporative water loss (by $0.009 \pm 0.003 \text{ mg H}_2\text{O min}^{-1} \text{ g}^{-1}$).
358 Similarly, for *M. lucifugus*, evaporative water loss was greater in Montana than at the other sites (by
359 $0.007 \pm 0.002 \text{ mg H}_2\text{O min}^{-1} \text{ g}^{-1}$). Other than these specific comparisons, there was no variation in water
360 loss among sites for either species. It is notable that the only pairwise differences in EWL were observed
361 among the most distant sites: the British Columbia and Colorado sites for *C. townsendii* are separated by
362 approximately 1,400 km, and the Montana *M. lucifugus* site is separated from the other two sites by
363 approximately 800 and 1,500 km respectively. High rates of gene flow among nearby populations
364 reduces phenotypic differentiation among populations, and differences may only become apparent at
365 greater distances (Rousset 1997). Considering biologically relevant conditions, the evidence for
366 intraspecific variation in EWL is even less compelling. We measured EWL in dry air, partially because of
367 methodological constraints (the challenge of maintaining humidity below saturation at low
368 temperatures) but also because measuring in dry air exacerbates potential differences in EWL among
369 groups (Klüg-Baerwald and Brigham 2017; McGuire et al. 2017). In natural arid conditions, free-living
370 animals would experience less water stress than in our measurement conditions, and the potential
371 differences among populations would be further diminished under real world conditions. Indeed, this
372 was exactly the finding in a study of hibernating *E. fuscus* that only observed a difference in EWL
373 between an arid and a mesic population when measured in dry air and not when measured under higher
374 humidity (Klüg-Baerwald and Brigham 2017). Taken together, the fact that differences were only

375 observed among the most distant sites, and when measured under unnatural extreme conditions, we
376 argue that there is likely to be little biologically relevant variation in EWL among free-living populations.

377 The potential for more pronounced differences in EWL (even if minimal) than TMR is consistent
378 with previous studies (Klüg-Baerwald and Brigham 2017; Gearhart et al. 2020). Furthermore, variation in
379 EWL but not TMR may reflect a physical difference among populations rather than a difference in a
380 physiological process (Klüg-Baerwald and Brigham 2017). We measured total evaporative water loss,
381 which is comprised of both respiratory and cutaneous water loss. Respiration is related to metabolic
382 rate, and therefore differences in total evaporative water loss in the absence of differences in metabolic
383 rate likely reflect differences in cutaneous water loss (Klüg-Baerwald and Brigham 2017; McGuire et al.
384 2017; Gearhart et al. 2020). Total water loss during torpor is thought to be primarily driven by cutaneous
385 water loss (Thomas and Cloutier 1992b), due to low respiration rates and large surface area due to wing
386 and tail membranes and large ears. Studies of hibernating bats often consider evaporative water loss as
387 an important driver of hibernation energetics and success (Thomas and Geiser 1997; Willis et al. 2011;
388 Ben-Hamo et al. 2013; Boratyński et al. 2015; Klüg-Baerwald and Brigham 2017; Haase et al. 2019) and
389 this may be an important avenue for future studies considering intraspecific variation in hibernation
390 physiology.

391 In general, we did not find strong evidence for local adaptation and intraspecific variation in
392 hibernation physiology, despite studying two species with very large geographic ranges encompassing a
393 wide gradient of environmental challenges. We suggest it is likely that rather than being locally adapted
394 to different climate conditions, hibernating bats may be able to find suitable hibernacula with generally
395 consistent microclimate across their range. Furthermore, some species mitigate environmental
396 influences by clustering during hibernation (Boratyński et al. 2015). The combined effects of migration,
397 microclimate selection, and clustering may represent an example of the Bogert effect, the phenomenon
398 of behaviour reducing the effects of selection and therefore reducing local adaptation (Buckley et al.

399 2015). This is particularly plausible for hibernating bats because of their ability to fly long distances in
400 search of suitable hibernacula (Griffin 1970). Furthermore, copulation occurs during pre-hibernation
401 swarming (Fenton 1969) and the long-distance movements to swarming sites and hibernacula result in
402 extensive gene flow among populations (Burns et al. 2014). Local adaptation typically requires restricted
403 gene flow (Kawecki and Ebert 2004) and therefore the combination of seasonal movement patterns and
404 behavioural thermoregulation (selecting preferred hibernation conditions, clustering) may contribute to
405 a lack of local adaptation in hibernation physiology. Behavior may be more important than physiology
406 and bats may be able to behaviorally select suitable hibernacula rather than physiologically specializing
407 on locally variable conditions during hibernation.

408 Our data suggests that within preferred microclimate conditions, there is little evidence of
409 intraspecific variation in hibernation physiology. However, it is important not to extrapolate this finding.
410 Extreme populations, hibernation strategy, global climate change, and disease may all affect
411 hibernation. As environmental conditions extend beyond the range of preferred conditions (e.g., at
412 range margins; Humphries et al. 2002), differences among widespread populations may become
413 apparent. We included populations of both species at their northern limits but it is possible that there
414 are more extreme unknown populations which are forced to hibernate outside their preferred range of
415 conditions. Furthermore, subterranean hibernacula are buffered from surface conditions (Perry 2013)
416 but some species select hibernacula that are more exposed to surface weather (Dunbar and Brigham
417 2010; Perry et al. 2010) and therefore may experience stronger gradients of environmental conditions.
418 Finally, there may be future changes in both extrinsic environmental conditions (global climate change)
419 and intrinsic hibernator phenotypes (e.g., disease consequences of white-nose syndrome; Auteri and
420 Knowles 2020).

421 Overall, we conclude that there is a general lack of intraspecific variation in hibernation
422 physiology among widespread bat populations across broad environmental gradients when measured

423 within the range of environmental conditions likely to be selected during hibernation. We suggest that
424 highly mobile bats are able to seek out preferred microclimates for hibernation, regardless of local
425 macroclimate conditions, emphasizing the importance of both behavior and physiology when studying
426 how animals are adapted to their environments. However, there are multiple plausible scenarios (more
427 extreme environmental gradients, populations closer to the extremes of the range margin, global
428 climate change, disease consequences) which may reveal intraspecific variation in hibernation
429 physiology. Variations in phenotype and genotype among populations provide the potential for natural
430 selection. Such variation among populations may be important for speciation processes, adaptation to
431 changing climates, or source material for evolutionary rescue from zoonotic disease.

432 **TABLES**

433 **Table 1.** We collected measurements from *Corynorhinus townsendii* at 6 sites and from *Myotis lucifugus* at 3 sites. Sites for *M. lucifugus* had a
 434 notable temperature gradient, while precipitation varied widely among sites for *C. townsendii*. Among *C. townsendii* sites, the most mesic site
 435 received approximately 6.5 times more precipitation than the most arid site. Among *M. lucifugus* sites, temperature decreased with latitude and
 436 the mean annual temperature at the most northern site was below freezing. All climate data from 1981 – 2010 climate normals. Hibernation
 437 duration estimates are calculated from Hranac et al. (Accepted). We do not report precise locations of these sensitive sites, but general locations
 438 are illustrated in Figure 1.

Site	Annual Precipitation (mm)	Mean Annual Temperature (°C)	Coldest Month ¹ (°C)	Warmest Month ² (°C)	Predicted Hibernation Duration (days)
<i>Corynorhinus townsendii</i> sites					
Nevada ³	248	7.2	-11.7	30.9	120
Colorado	338	10.3	-9.5	33.9	89
Utah	501	9	-8.4	31.1	127
British Columbia	535	8	-7.2	28.7	139
Oregon	1597	13.2	0.6	34.6	81
<i>Myotis lucifugus</i> sites					
Montana	563	6.3	-9.7	27.5	154
Alberta	599	4.1	-11.7	22.7	170
Northwest Territories	354	-1.8	-27	23.3	205

- 439 1. Mean of daily minimum temperature for the coldest month of the year, based on 1981 – 2010 climate normals
 440 2. Mean of daily maximum temperature for the warmest month of the year, based on 1981 – 2010 climate normals.
 441 3. The two sites in Nevada were in relatively close proximity and are characterized by a single weather station.

442 **References**

- 443 Arguez A, Durre I, Applequist S, Vose RS, Squires MF, Yin X, Heim Jr RR, Owen TW (2012) NOAA's 1981–
444 2010 US climate normals: an overview. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* 93
445 (11):1687-1697
- 446 Auteri GG, Knowles LL (2020) Decimated little brown bats show potential for adaptive change. *Scientific*
447 *reports* 10 (1):1-10
- 448 Ben-Hamo M, Muñoz-Garcia A, Williams JB, Korine C, Pinshow B (2013) Waking to drink: rates of
449 evaporative water loss determine arousal frequency in hibernating bats. *Journal of Experimental*
450 *Biology* 216 (4):573-577
- 451 Boratyński JS, Willis CK, Jefimow M, Wojciechowski MS (2015) Huddling reduces evaporative water loss
452 in torpid Natterer's bats, *Myotis nattereri*. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A:*
453 *Molecular & Integrative Physiology* 179:125-132
- 454 Boyles JG, Dunbar MB, Storm JJ, Brack V, Jr. (2007) Energy availability influences microclimate selection
455 of hibernating bats. *The Journal of experimental biology* 210 (Pt 24):4345-4350.
456 doi:10.1242/jeb.007294
- 457 Boyles JG, Johnson EM, Fuller NW, Silas KA, Hou L, Frick WF, McGuire LP (In review) An experimental
458 assessment of behavioral microclimate selection and acclimation to hibernation conditions in a
459 hibernating bat. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*
- 460 Boyles JG, Johnson JS, Blomberg A, Lilley TM (2020) Optimal hibernation theory. *Mammal Review* 50
461 (1):91-100
- 462 Boyles JG, McKechnie AE (2010) Energy conservation in hibernating endotherms: Why “suboptimal”
463 temperatures are optimal. *Ecological Modelling* 221 (12):1644-1647
- 464 Brunet-Rossinni AK, Wilkinson GS (2009) Methods for age estimation and the study of senescence in
465 bats. In: Kunz TH, Parsons S (eds) *Ecological and Behavioral Methods for the Study of Bats*. 2nd
466 edn. Johns Hopkins University Press, pp 315-325
- 467 Buckley LB, Ehrenberger JC, Angilletta Jr MJ (2015) Thermoregulatory behaviour limits local adaptation
468 of thermal niches and confers sensitivity to climate change. *Functional Ecology* 29 (8):1038-1047
- 469 Burns LE, Frasier TR, Broders HG (2014) Genetic connectivity among swarming sites in the wide ranging
470 and recently declining little brown bat (*Myotis lucifugus*). *Ecology and evolution* 4 (21):4130-
471 4149
- 472 Czenze ZJ, Jonasson KA, Willis CK (2017) Thrifty females, frisky males: winter energetics of hibernating
473 bats from a cold climate. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology* 90 (4):502-511
- 474 Czenze ZJ, Park AD, Willis CK (2013) Staying cold through dinner: cold-climate bats rewarm with
475 conspecifics but not sunset during hibernation. *J Comp Physiol B* 183 (6):859-866.
476 doi:10.1007/s00360-013-0753-4
- 477 Davis WH (1966) Population dynamics of the bat *Pipistrellus subflavus*. *Journal of Mammalogy* 47
478 (3):383-396
- 479 Doebeli M, Dieckmann U (2003) Speciation along environmental gradients. *Nature* 421 (6920):259-264
- 480 Dunbar MB, Brigham RM (2010) Thermoregulatory variation among populations of bats along a
481 latitudinal gradient. *J Comp Physiol B* 180 (6):885-893
- 482 Fenton MB (1969) Summer activity of *Myotis lucifugus* (Chiroptera: Vespertilionidae) at hibernacula in
483 Ontario and Quebec. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 47 (4):597-602
- 484 Fenton MB, Barclay RMR (1980) *Myotis lucifugus*. *Mammalian species* (142):1-8
- 485 Fleming TH, Eby P (2003) Ecology of Bat Migration. In: Kunz TH, Fenton MB (eds) *Bat Ecology*. University
486 of Chicago Press, Chicago, Illinois, pp 156 - 208

487 Frick WF, Reynolds DS, Kunz TH (2010) Influence of climate and reproductive timing on demography of
488 little brown myotis *Myotis lucifugus*. *Journal of animal ecology* 79 (1):128-136

489 Garland Jr T, Adolph SC (1991) Physiological differentiation of vertebrate populations. *Annual Review of*
490 *Ecology and Systematics* 22 (1):193-228

491 Gearhart C, Adams AM, Pinshow B, Korine C (2020) Evaporative water loss in Kuhl's pipistrelles declines
492 along an environmental gradient, from mesic to hyperarid. *Comparative Biochemistry and*
493 *Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology* 240:110587

494 Gould SJ, Johnston RF (1972) Geographic variation. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 3 (1):457-
495 498

496 Griffin DR (1970) Migrations and homing of bats. In: Wimsatt WA (ed) *Biology of Bats*, vol 1. Academic
497 Press, New York, pp 233 - 264

498 Golemund G, Wickham H (2011) Dates and times made easy with lubridate. *Journal of statistical*
499 *software* 40 (3):1-25

500 Haase CG, Fuller NW, Hranac CR, Hayman DT, McGuire LP, Norquay KJ, Silas KA, Willis CK, Plowright RK,
501 Olson SH (2019) Incorporating evaporative water loss into bioenergetic models of hibernation to
502 test for relative influence of host and pathogen traits on white-nose syndrome. *PLoS one* 14
503 (10):e0222311

504 Hothorn T, Bretz F, Westfall P (2008) Simultaneous inference in general parametric models. *Biometrical*
505 *Journal: Journal of Mathematical Methods in Biosciences* 50 (3):346-363

506 Hranac CR, Haase CG, McClure MM, Lausen CL, McGuire LP, Fuller NW, Marshall JC, Olson SH, Hayman
507 DT (Accepted) What is winter? Modelling spatial variation in bat host traits and hibernation and
508 their implications for overwintering energetics. *Ecology and Evolution* ECE-2021-01-00061.R1

509 Hughes SE (1968) Temperature of the bat, *Plecotus townsendii*, during arousal. *Journal of Mammalogy*
510 49 (1):140-142

511 Humphrey SR, Kunz TH (1976) Ecology of a Pleistocene Relict, the Western Big-Eared Rat (*Plecotus*
512 *townsendii*), in the Southern Great Plains. *Journal of Mammalogy* 57 (3):470-494

513 Humphries MM, Thomas DW, Speakman JR (2002) Climate-mediated energetic constraints on the
514 distribution of hibernating mammals. *Nature* 418 (6895):313-316

515 Ingersoll TE, Navo KW, de Valpine P (2010) Microclimate preferences during swarming and hibernation
516 in the Townsend's big-eared bat, *Corynorhinus townsendii*. *Journal of Mammalogy* 91 (5):1242-
517 1250

518 Jonasson KA, Willis CK (2011) Changes in body condition of hibernating bats support the thrifty female
519 hypothesis and predict consequences for populations with white-nose syndrome. *PLoS one* 6
520 (6):e21061

521 Jonasson KA, Willis CK (2012) Hibernation energetics of free-ranging little brown bats. *The Journal of*
522 *experimental biology* 215 (Pt 12):2141-2149. doi:10.1242/jeb.066514

523 Kahle D, Wickham H (2013) ggmap: Spatial Visualization with ggplot2. *The R journal* 5 (1):144-161

524 Kawecki TJ, Ebert D (2004) Conceptual issues in local adaptation. *Ecology letters* 7 (12):1225-1241

525 Klüg-Baerwald BJ, Brigham RM (2017) Hung out to dry? Intraspecific variation in water loss in a
526 hibernating bat. *Oecologia* 183 (4):977-985

527 Kunz TH, Martin RA (1982) *Plecotus townsendii*. *Mammalian species* (175):1-6

528 Kunz TH, Wrazen JA, Burnett CD (1998) Changes in body mass and fat reserves in pre-hibernating little
529 brown bats (*Myotis lucifugus*). *Ecoscience* 5 (1):8-17

530 Lentini PE, Bird TJ, Griffiths SR, Godinho LN, Wintle BA (2015) A global synthesis of survival estimates for
531 microbats. *Biol Lett* 11 (8):20150371

532 Lighton JR (2018) *Measuring metabolic rates: a manual for scientists*. Oxford University Press,

533 McClure ML, Crowley D, Haase CG, McGuire LP, Fuller NW, Hayman DT, Lausen CL, Plowright RK, Dickson
534 BG, Olson SH (2020) Linking surface and subterranean climate: implications for the study of
535 hibernating bats and other cave dwellers. *Ecosphere* 11 (10):e03274

536 McGuire LP, Fenton MB, Guglielmo CG (2009) Effect of age on energy storage during prehibernation
537 swarming in little brown bats (*Myotis lucifugus*). *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 87 (6):515-519.
538 doi:10.1139/z09-041

539 McGuire LP, Mayberry HW, Willis CK (2017) White-nose syndrome increases torpid metabolic rate and
540 evaporative water loss in hibernating bats. *American Journal of Physiology-Regulatory,
541 Integrative and Comparative Physiology* 313 (6):R680-R686

542 Norquay KJ, Martinez-Nuñez F, Dubois JE, Monson KM, Willis CK (2013) Long-distance movements of
543 little brown bats (*Myotis lucifugus*). *Journal of Mammalogy* 94 (2):506-515

544 Norquay KJO, Willis CKR (2014) Hibernation phenology of *Myotis lucifugus*. *Journal of Zoology* 294
545 (2):85-92. doi:10.1111/jzo.12155

546 Otto SP (2018) Adaptation, speciation and extinction in the Anthropocene. *Proceedings of the Royal
547 Society B* 285 (1891):20182047

548 Perry RW (2013) A review of factors affecting cave climates for hibernating bats in temperate North
549 America. *Environmental Reviews* 21 (1):28-39. doi:10.1139/er-2012-0042

550 Perry RW, Saugey DA, Crump BG (2010) Winter roosting ecology of silver-haired bats in an Arkansas
551 forest. *Southeastern Naturalist* 9 (3):563-572

552 Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, Sarkar D, Team RC (2021) nlme: Linear and nonlinear mixed effects
553 models. R Package Version 3.1-152. edn.,

554 Price ER, Mager EM (2020) Respiratory quotient: Effects of fatty acid composition. *Journal of
555 Experimental Zoology Part A: Ecological and Integrative Physiology* 333 (9):613-618

556 R Core Team (2020) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
557 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria

558 Ransome R (1968) The distribution of the Greater horse-shoe bat, *Rhinolophus ferrum-equinum*, during
559 hibernation, in relation to environmental factors. *Journal of Zoology* 154 (1):77-112

560 Rousset F (1997) Genetic differentiation and estimation of gene flow from F-statistics under isolation by
561 distance. *Genetics* 145 (4):1219-1228

562 Sherwin RE, Stricklan D, Rogers DS (2000) Roosting affinities of Townsend's big-eared bat (*Corynorhinus
563 townsendii*) in northern Utah. *Journal of mammalogy* 81 (4):939-947

564 Thomas D, Geiser F (1997) Periodic arousals in hibernating mammals: is evaporative water loss involved?
565 *Functional Ecology* 11 (5):585-591

566 Thomas DW, Cloutier D (1992a) Evaporative water loss by hibernating little brown bats, *Myotis
567 lucifugus*. *Physiological Zoology* 65 (2):443-456

568 Thomas DW, Cloutier D (1992b) Evaporative water loss by hibernating little brown bats, *Myotis
569 lucifugus*. *Physiological Zoology*:443-456

570 Thomas DW, Dorais M, Bergeron J-M (1990) Winter energy budgets and cost of arousals for hibernating
571 little brown bats, *Myotis lucifugus*. *Journal of Mammalogy* 71 (3):475-479


572 US Fish and Wildlife Service (2018) National White-Nose Syndrome Decontamination Protocol.

573 Wickham H, François R, Henry L, Müller K (2021) dplyr: A grammar of data manipulation. R Package
574 Version 1.0.5 edn.,

575 Willis CK (2015) Conservation physiology and conservation pathogens: white-nose syndrome and
576 integrative biology for host-pathogen systems. *Integr Comp Biol* 55 (4):631-641


577 Willis CKR, Menzies AK, Boyles JG, Wojciechowski MS (2011) Evaporative water loss is a plausible
578 explanation for mortality of bats from white-nose syndrome. *Integr Comp Biol* 51 (3):364-373.
579 doi:10.1093/icb/icr076

- 580 Wilson JM, Reimer JP, Allaire D, Lausen CL (2014) Diversity and distribution of bats in the Northwest
581 Territories. *Northwestern Naturalist* 95 (3):197-218
- 582 Zuur A, Ieno EN, Walker N, Saveliev AA, Smith GM (2009) *Mixed effects models and extensions in*
583 *ecology with R*. Springer Science & Business Media, New York
- 584



585


586 **Figure 1.** We collected data from bats across western North America. Study sites for *Myotis lucifugus* are
 587 indicated in grey triangles and span approximately 1,500 km (approximately 13 degrees of latitude).
 588 Study sites for *Corynorhinus townsendii* are indicated in yellow circles and span approximately 1,200 km
 589 east to west, and 1,200 km north to south. For both species, the most northern sites are among the
 590 most northerly known hibernacula. Map created with map tiles from Stamen Design (maps.stamen.com;
 591 CC BY 3.0).



593

594 **Figure 2. (A)** *Corynorhinus townsendii* ($n = 152$) torpid metabolic rate did not vary across 6 sites, nor did the relationship between site and
 595 temperature vary across sites. Minimum metabolic rate was measured between 5 – 8°C and metabolic rate increased at 2°C. Measurements
 596 made during mid-winter were greater than measurements made during pre-hibernation swarming, but both seasons have been combined here
 597 for visual simplicity and comparison with *M. lucifugus*. **(B)** A similar pattern was observed for evaporative water loss. Minimum evaporative
 598 water loss was measured between 5 – 8°C. There were generally no differences among sites, except for one pairwise difference between sites in
 599 British Columbia and Colorado, but sites have been combined here for visual simplicity. In both plots, temperatures indicated with the same

600 letter did not differ. Boxplots indicate median, interquartile range, and outliers (open circles) as determined by standard boxplots in software
601 package R.



602

603 **Figure 3. (A)** *Myotis lucifugus* (n = 99) torpid metabolic rate did not vary across 3 sites, nor did the relationship between site and temperature
604 vary across sites. Minimum metabolic rate was measured between 2 – 8°C. **(B)** Minimum evaporative water loss was measured between 5 – 8°C.
605 Evaporative water loss was greater in Montana (light grey) than either Alberta or Northwest Territories (dark grey). In both plots, temperatures
606 indicated with the same letter did not differ. Boxplots indicate median, interquartile range, and outliers (open circles) as determined by standard
607 boxplots in software package R.

608