### PRESENTATION GIVEN AT TRANSLINKS REDD WORKSHOP

#### SEPTEMBER 10-12, 2008

#### LIMA, PERU

### SPONSORED BY

### THE WILDLIFE CONSERVATION SOCIETY



This workshop was made possible by the generous support of the American people through the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) under the terms of the TransLinks Cooperative Agreement No.EPP-A-00-06-00014-00 to the Wildlife Conservation Society (WCS). TransLinks is a partnership of WCS, The Earth Institute, Enterprise Works/VITA, Forest Trends and the Land Tenure Center. The contents are the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of USAID or the United States government.

Tom Clements, WCS Cambodia Tom Evans, WCS Cambodia



## Forest Conservation in Cambodia

Financing Climate Benefits, Biodiversity Conservation and Community Development through the Market for Emission Reductions

> Promoting Transformation by Linking Natural Resources, Economic Growth, and Good Governance



## Cambodia



- One of the poorest countries in SE Asia
- High forest cover (2006: 58.9%)
- High recent rates of forest loss (2002-2006: 3%, or 379,485 hectares)
- Low endemism, moderate species richness but high numbers of globally threatened species
- Large numbers of remote forestdependent communities









### Unique community of birds: 4 Critically Endangered, 8 Endangered or Vulnerable, and 8 Near-threatened species

#### Including:

Vultures; Large waterbirds: Giant & Whiteshouldered Ibis, Adjutants, Sarus Crane, Blacknecked Stork; Orange-necked Partridge; Green Peafowl





### **Globally Threatened Mammals:**

- **5 Endangered**
- 12 Vulnerable or Data Deficient, and

### **5 Near-threatened species**

### Including:

Tiger, Leopard, Asian Elephant, Eld's Deer Dhole, Gaur, Banteng, Fishing Cat, Golden Cat, Langurs, Gibbons





## Habitat Diversity

Has a complex mix of forest types including: •Evergreen forest •Semi-evergreen forest •Mixed deciduous forest •Deciduous dipterocarp forest •Bamboo + Permanent water sources and many mineral licks



### Forest inhabited by the Bunong minority



A Mon-Khmer ethnic indigenous group Animist, typically shifting cultivators, live in small forest settlements Limited exposure to mainstream Khmer culture until recently Low literacy Low numbers Politically weak



SOCIET



FROM THE AMERICAN PEOPLE



## Drivers of forest clearance









WILDLIFE

### Current management

/\_

S Z

> 650 106°25'

106°30'

106°20'

Southern Mondulkiri Wildlife Conservation Area

Conservation area & core zone



 $\rightarrow$ Company withdrew in 2002-3, following logging moratorium  $\rightarrow$ Seima Biodiversity Conservation Area (SBCA) 2002

 $\rightarrow$  300,000ha including a core area of 150,000ha

WCS has a long-term collaboration with the Forestry Administration covering all aspects of site management

## **Conservation Program**



- Samling withdrew in 2002 power vacuum significant increase in threats (mainly land clearance)
  - Conservation program initiated:WCS/Government
- Includes:
  - Support to law enforcement (absolutely crucial element)
  - Community land-use planning, land titling & resource tenure
  - Economic incentives Community Commercial Forestry, REDD
  - Monitoring
- Multiple donors: USFWS, MacArthur, Private, ADB, DFID, Danida, etc... (until 2010-2011)



## Legal issues & Land tenure



- Area is technically classified as Production Forest under 2002 Forestry Law (i.e. not a PA or protected zone), under management of the (national) Forestry Administration
- Communities can obtain legal recognition of resource tenure and land titling either for –
  - Agricultural plots (Khmer communities)
  - Communal lands for swidden agriculture (Indigenous communities)
- To date no indigenous lands have been registered
- Long-term WCS program working with all communities to clarify land rights and support recognition





# Eligibility & Additionality



- Essential part of the argument
- SBCA currently classified as Production Forest i.e. for logging, community harvesting, potential conversion
- FA/WCS proposal to gazette SBCA as Protection Forest
  - Requires demonstrating that protection forest also generates economic returns
  - Designation of land concessions in protection forest is illegal
- Additional REDD financing required for law enforcement, community land titling, incentive schemes



## Deforestation rates & Carbo

- National assessments: 1992, 1996/7, 2000, 2002, 2006
  - Unfortunately use different classification systems, so challenging to compare
- Site assessments by WCS for 2000/1, 2004/5, 2006/7, 2007/8
  - Coverage depends on image availability
  - Challenging to distinguish natural grassland and open woodland from agriculture
- Carbon stock assessments



## **Deforestation rates**



### • Analysis by Winrock International (2008)

1.0% Projected Rate ♦ Recorded Data			Hectares of Estimated Unplanned Year Deforestation			
1011 0.6% -	Baseline Scenario 1:		Baseline Scenario 2:			
0.4% -	Continued unplanned		Scenario 1 + land			
	deforestation		concessions			
0.0%	02 2 <mark>0</mark> 03 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 200	9 2010 2011 2012	2 <u>012</u>		,796	
Year	Hectares of Unplanned D Associated	f Estimated Deforestation Remaining	Hecta Estin Plar	res of nated nned	TOTAL	
Year	Hectares of Unplanned D Associated with Road	f Estimated Deforestation Remaining Area	Hecta Estin Plar Defore	nres of nated nned station	TOTAL	
<b>Year</b> 2008	Hectares of Unplanned D Associated with Road 698	f Estimated Deforestation Remaining Area 716	Hecta Estin Plar Defore	ares of nated nned station	<b>TOTAL</b> 1,413	
<b>Year</b> 2008 2009	Year Hectares of Unplanned D Associated with Road 698 668	f Estimated Deforestation Remaining Area 716 863	Hecta Estin Plar Defore	ned ned station ,882	<b>TOTAL</b> 1,413 3,414	
Year 2008 2009 2010	Vear Hectares of Unplanned D Associated with Road 698 668 668 640	f Estimated Deforestation Remaining Area 716 863 1,042	Hecta Estin Plar Defore 1	ned ned station ,882 ,882	<b>TOTAL</b> 1,413 3,414 3,563	
Year 2008 2009 2010 2011	Hectares of Unplanned D Associated with Road 698 668 668 640 613	F Estimated Deforestation Remaining Area 716 863 1,042 1,257	Hecta Estin Plar Defore 1 1	ned ned station ,882 ,882 ,882	<b>TOTAL</b> 1,413 3,414 3,563 3,751	

## **REDD Project Scenarios**



Ι,

 Scenario I: 50% decrease in baseline deforestation across entire area, no agro-industrial concessions, 2008-2012

At \$5/ton = \$10 Million (minimum), possible \$25 Million (maximum) Crediting period 2008-2012 (5 years)

 Scenario 2 (Conservative): 50% decrease in baseline deforestation in a core 187,698 hectare area, no agroindustrial concessions

At \$5/ton = \$7.5 Million (minimum), Possible \$15 Million (maximum) Crediting period 2008-2012 (5 years)



# **REDD Financing for SBCA**



- Conservative estimate 1.5 Million tons of CO2e over 5 years 2008-2012
  - Assumes 50% decrease in baseline deforestation in a core 187,698 hectare area only
- At \$5.00 per ton (medium to low estimate) and a 30% set aside for VCS (conservative), annual average revenue of more than \$1M
- Under a recent Council of Ministers decision (26 May 2008) FA has the right to negotiate deals and sell REDD credits
- BUT need mechanism to disperse funds that:
  - Ensures maximum amount of revenue reaches the local level
  - Is transparent
  - Has participation by all stakeholders, including Government and local communities
  - Builds local governance and support for forest conservation
  - Guarantees investor confidence
- How to do this?





# SBCA: Steps in the Process



- Data Collection and GIS processing: verify initial results
- Write VCS methodologies, these are double-approved by independent third-party auditors
- Complete Project Design Document (PDD) and all documentation to verify amount of VERs and receive VCS certification
- CCB certification to show project is 'Carbon+'
- WCS develops and signs agreement with the Government regarding sales and distribution of VER sale proceeds (e.g. carbon trading company and

Costs \$250,000 and 6-12 months of work



## Steps in the Process



- WCS and stakeholders create an appropriate financial mechanism to receive payments and disburse funds to projects and activities in the region
- Creation of a conservation trust fund with a mixed board (Government, Non-Government, Donor, Civil Society) - legally created and funds invested offshore (e.g.Tri National Sangha Trust in Central Africa)
- Development of guidelines for use of funds including project financing, direct payments for conservation, etc..



# Advantages of the Model



- Sales are done by a non-profit company: ensures maximum amount of revenue reaches the local level

   minimises broker fees – politically unacceptable to FA
- Transparent
- Has participation by all stakeholders:
  - Government, local communities, Civil Society
- Builds local governance and support for forest conservation (multiple benefits: biodiversity & communities)
- Guarantees investor confidence = higher price for the carbon credits
- NGO Branded



## **Final Thoughts**



- Essential elements of SBCA project:
  - Eligibility clear creation of a new PA
  - Additionality reduction of deforestation rates in a landscape with high rates of land-use change
  - Achievability 6 years of experience at the site shows that here more money can  $\rightarrow$  more results
  - Gain best practice certification VCS (to verify emissions) and community and biodiversity benefits (CCB Standards – 'Carbon+')
  - Effective mechanism to distribute revenue in a transparent way that maximizes % at local level
  - National pilot to build capacity & readiness



## Acknowledgements





ADB

Funded by Wildlife Conservation Society, Asia Development Bank (ADB), Danida

Tim Pearson, Silvia Petrova, Sandra Brown (Winrock International)

Ray Victurine, Mark Gately (WCS)

Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries of Royal Government of Cambodia



